

Soil Algae in Field and Forest Environments Author(s): Margo E. Hunt, Gary L. Floyd and Benjamin B. Stout Source: *Ecology*, Vol. 60, No. 2 (Apr., 1979), pp. 362-375 Published by: Wiley on behalf of the Ecological Society of America Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/1937665 Accessed: 12-02-2019 23:03 UTC

# REFERENCES

WILEY

Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article: https://www.jstor.org/stable/1937665?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references\_tab\_contents You may need to log in to JSTOR to access the linked references.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



Wiley, Ecological Society of America are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Ecology

## SOIL ALGAE IN FIELD AND FOREST ENVIRONMENTS<sup>1</sup>

MARGO E. HUNT<sup>2</sup>

Ecology Program, Botany Department, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 USA

GARY L. FLOYD

Botany Department, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210 USA

AND

#### Benjamin B. Stout

School of Forestry, University of Montana, Missoula, Montana 59812 USA

Abstract. The prokaryotic and eukaryotic algal components of soil were quantified and identified generically over a 17-mo period in 2 successional fields and a climax forest. A correlation of the quantitative and qualitative changes was sought with other biological components (bacteria, actino-mycetes and fungi) and major chemical and physical parameters. The highest counts obtained for the eukaryotic algae were  $3.3 \times 10^7$ ,  $2.2 \times 10^7$ , and  $1.2 \times 10^5$  cells/g soil for a 1-yr old field, an 11-yr old field and the forest, respectively. The highest counts obtained for the prokaryotic (bluegreen) algae were  $8.2 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.3 \times 10^5$  and  $1.6 \times 10^4$  cells/g soil for the same sites. The total number of algae in all 3 sites declined during the summer. There was no further decline in winter. The greatest number of algal genera was found in the 1-yr old field, 28 of a total 35 isolated or 80%; fewer were observed in the 11-yr old field and the forest, 57% and 63%, respectively.

A predictive model for numbers of soil algae was formed by multiple regression analysis. The significant factors that showed a relationship with the bluegreen algae were pH, time, Mg, precipitation and the eukaryotic algae,  $R^2 = 45\%$ ; significant factors with the eukaryotic algae were time, Mg and the bluegreen algae,  $R^2 = 37\%$ . Prediction equations were tested against additional data with success.

Key words: algae; multiple regression analysis; New Jersey; predictive model; soil; soil ecosystem.

## INTRODUCTION

Algae have been isolated from soils worldwide, including the Antarctic (Cameron and Devaney 1970, Curl and Becker 1970), tropics (Durrell 1964) and desert regions (Forest and Weston 1966, Friedman et al. 1967). Their importance in soil has been discussed earlier (Lund 1962, Shields and Durrell 1964, Dommerques and Mangenot 1970, Round 1973). As photoautotrophs, algae are thought to be important contributors to the organic carbon content of soil. Bluegreen algal nitrogen fixation can increase soil organic nitrogen content (Mayland and McIntosh 1966, Mayland et al. 1966). When growth is extensive, production of mucilage is thought to stabilize the soil against erosion (Fritsch 1907, Booth 1941, Durrell and Shields 1961, Bailey et al. 1973).

Many previous studies have resulted in taxonomic lists (e.g., Forest 1962, Fairchild and Willson 1967, Jurgenson and Davey 1968, MacEntee et al. 1972, Dystra et al. 1975) and these studies have relied on relatively small numbers of soil samples (one in many cases). Round (1973) has broadly classified the soil alga microflora into 2 groups: the ephemerals which appear at random and multiply rapidly under suitable

<sup>1</sup> Manuscript received 13 February 1978; accepted 31 August 1978.

<sup>2</sup> Present address: 527 Wakefield Drive, Metuchen, New Jersey 08840 USA.

conditions and the perennials which are constantly subjected to the extremes of the soil habitat. A single soil isolation may give an incomplete picture of the algae present, both taxonomically and numerically. Recently, investigators have broadened the scope of soil algal studies to include changes in populations in response to land use and soil treatment (Drew and Anderson 1977, King and Ward 1977). The purpose of the present study was to continue to expand the nature of soil algal studies by determining the qualitative and quantitative changes in the algal microflora over an extensive period and to relate these changes to the biological, chemical and physical environment. Using multiple regression equations we offer a statistical model of the microflora. Different isolation procedures were used to quantify each component of the microflora. Macro and microclimatic conditions were considered as well as concentrations of the major elements. Three sites with differing vegetation were selected for this study: a newly-plowed field left abandoned, an 11-yr-old successional field, and a climax forest.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Research area

Hutcheson Memorial Forest, 1.6 km east of East Millstone, Somerset County, New Jersey, was selected for this research because of the availability of fields in various successional stages. The red shale soil of the area is part of the Upper Triassic Brunswick formation on the Piedmont Plateau (Ugolini 1964).

#### Sampling

Three sites separated by 50 to 100 m were investigated from April 1974 to August 1975: a field last plowed in late April 1974 and abandoned after plowing, (1-yr-old field), a field abandoned after hay harvest in the fall 1963 (11-yr-old field), and a forest, >250 yr old. The predominant macro-vegetation in the 1-yr-old field after plowing was wild radish, Raphanus raphanistrum L. During the summer the predominant vegetation was common ragweed, Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. and giant foxtail, Setaria faberii Herrm. Lesser dominants were hedge bindweed, Convolvulus sepium L., woodsorrel, Oxalis stricta L. and common crabgrass, Digitaria sanguinalis (1.) Scop. Dominants in the 11-yr-old field were aster, Aster pilosus Willd., and the goldenrods, Solidago rugosa Mill., S. milfora and S. juncea Ait. The forest site, located 30 m in from the periphery of the forest, had an overstory of white oak, Quercus alba L., an understory of dogwood, Cornus florida L., a shrub layer of mapleleaf viburnum, Viburnum acerifolium L. and an herb layer of mayapple, Podophyllum peltatum L. Complete descriptions of these sites are in Bard (1952), Small et al. (1971) and Sulser (1971). Map reference points are D5 for the 1-yr field, C5 for the 11-yr field and B5 for the forest (Small et al. 1971).

Quadrats  $(10 \times 10 \text{ m})$  were randomly selected at each site and were equally subdivided into 12 sections. Three randomly selected sections of each site were sampled monthly by collecting 1–2 cm thickness of soil over an area of approximately 30 cm<sup>2</sup>, after first removing the litter and 4–7 mm of surface soil to avoid recent contamination from airborne cells. The same section was not disturbed for a minimum of 4 mo. The sampling instrument, a scoop spatula, was wiped clean after each use. Samples were held in commercial plastic bags and the bags were discarded after use.

## Chemical and physical analyses

All sites were assumed to have identical climatological conditions. Minimum and maximum air temperature and precipitation were measured daily at the forest weather station located wihtin 200 m of each site. Snowfall was converted to rain equivalents. In March 1975, light intensity readings were taken at the soil surface in all 3 sites using a Weston Illumination Meter.

For pH readings, a 10 g portion of each sample was aseptically mixed 1:1 with water. After 1 h the pH was determined using a Sargent-Welch Model LS pH meter with a glass, combination electrode. Additional soil was sieved through a United States Standard Sieve #10 (pore size 1.0 mm). Freshly sieved samples were weighed onto dried aluminum trays, heated at  $105-110^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, and reweighed to determine moisture loss. These readings were used to normalize all microbial counts from numbers per g wet weight to numbers per g dry weight of soil. All samples were held in commercial paper bags at  $3-5^{\circ}$ C, for no longer than 48 h until microbial isolations were completed. Soils were then air dried for further analyses.

Analyses for Mg, Ca, P and K were run on leachates of dried samples as described by Black et al. (1965) and Chapman and Pratt (1961). Total nitrogen was determined by Kjeldahl extraction and subsequent colorimetric analysis. Organic carbon was calculated by loss on ignition with oven dried samples.

#### Organism isolations and identifications

One g soil samples were used to initiate a 10-fold dilution series, each dilution being vortexed to ensure uniformity of suspension.

Soil algae were isolated using the 5 tube Most Probable Number technique (MPN) (Meynell and Meynell 1965). One ml of suspended innoculum was aseptically transferred to each of 5 plastic capped test tubes ( $18 \times 150$  mm) for each 10-fold dilution step. Four to 5 serial dilution levels were used.

BG-11 medium (Allen and Stanier 1968), incubated at  $35 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, was used for preferential isolation of bluegreen algae. The eukaryotic algae were isolated in Bristol's medium (Nichols 1973) at  $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. Both were incubated on a 16/8 h light-dark cycle with a light intensity of 3200 to 4300 lx. For one 4-mo period, the medium (TBIM) of Smith and Wiedeman (1964) also was used to isolate eukaryotic algae.

Following incubation of 4 to 7 weeks, all tubes were examined and numbers of viable algae estimated (Meynell and Meynell 1965). Generic identifications of algae in the highest dilution tubes were made using numerous resources (Smith 1950, Chapman 1962, Brown and Bold 1964, Smith and Bold 1966, Groover and Bold 1969, Kantz and Bold 1969, Archibold and Bold 1970, Baker and Bold 1970, Prescott 1970, Whitford and Schumacher 1973, Lee and Bold 1974).

For plating and isolation of bacteria, actinomycetes, and fungi, 1 g of soil was placed in 10 ml of sterile physiological saline (0.9% NaCl) and serially diluted in  $10^{-1}$  steps. Three dilution levels were plated (0.1 ml) in triplicate on media preferential for the growth of each group (see below). Plates for bacteria and actinomycetes were incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 1 to 14 days and fungi at 25–28°C for 5 to 14 days.

Bacterial population estimates were made after plating on nutrient agar (Difco) supplemented with antifungal antibiotics (Pimaricin 100 g/ml and Actidione 10 g/ml). The actinomycete microflora was estimated after growth on a starch-nitrate casein medium modified from that of Kuster and Williams (1964) by the substitution of soluble starch (4 g/l) for glycerol and supplemented with the antifungal antibiotics. Fungi were



FIG. 1. Monthly precipitation and monthly means of air temperature readings.



FIG. 2. Soil moisture and temperature at time of collection. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,



FIG. 3. Soil pH at time of collection. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = 1$  forest.

plated onto dextrose-peptone yeast extract medium modified from that of Papavizas and Davey (1959) by the substitution of sodium deoxycholate (0.3 g/l) for oxgall and added antibacterial antibiotics (oxytetracyline 5 mg/l and polymyxin 5 mg/l). Previous experiments (*personal observations*) had shown this medium to be selective for fungi and spreading was not a problem.

Ten representative bacterical colonies at the highest dilution levels were selected for identification. They were gram stained and gram morphology noted.

Isolated actinomycete colonies were held at 4°C on slants of the isolation medium (minus the antibiotics) and then plated onto Peptone Iron Agar (Difco), Synthetic Starch Medium (Merck soluble starch 10 g, NaNO<sub>3</sub> 1 g, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 0.3 g, NaCl 0.5 g, CaCO<sub>3</sub> 3g, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 1 g, Bacto Agar 15 g, distilled water 1 l) and Oatmeal Agar (Gerber's Baby Oatmeal 20 g, trace salts 1 ml, Bacto Agar 18 g, distilled water 1 l). The trace salts solution consisted of FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.1 g, MnCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O 0.1 g, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.1 g, distilled



FIG. 4. Organic carbon and Kjeldahl nitrogen content of soil. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = forest$ .

| Table 1. | Summary of m   | ost frequentl | y occurrin | ıg soil | algae |
|----------|----------------|---------------|------------|---------|-------|
| over a   | 15-mo period,  | April 1974    | through    | July    | 1975, |
| sampled  | once monthly ( | except Febr   | uary 1975) |         |       |

|              |                   | Months observed (N) |                |        |  |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Phylum       | Genera            | 1-yr<br>Field       | 11-yr<br>Field | Forest |  |
| Cvanophyta   | Anabaena          | 13                  | 15             | 4      |  |
| .,,          | Gloeocapsa        | 0                   | 1              | 0      |  |
|              | Lyngbya           | 3                   | 1              | 1      |  |
|              | Nostoc            | 15                  | 13             | 2      |  |
|              | Oscillatoria      | 11                  | 5              | 1      |  |
|              | Phormidium        | 0                   | 0              | 1      |  |
|              | Plectonema        | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Synechococcus     | 0                   | 1              | 0      |  |
|              | Synechocystis     | 6                   | 7              | 9      |  |
| Chlorophyta  | Bracteacoccus     | 10                  | 6              | 4      |  |
|              | Characium         | 1                   | 0              | 1      |  |
|              | Characiopsis      | 1                   | 0              | 3      |  |
|              | Chlamydomonas     | 1                   | 2              | 7      |  |
|              | Chlorella         | 14                  | 12             | 10     |  |
|              | Chlorococcum      | 14                  | 15             | 13     |  |
|              | Chlorosarcina     | 0                   | 1              | 2      |  |
|              | Chlorosarcinopsis | 2                   | 0              | 3      |  |
|              | Gleocystis        | 0                   | 1              | 2      |  |
|              | Klebsormidium     | 2                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Mesotaenium       | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Myrmecia          | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Nannochloris      | 9                   | 7              | 8      |  |
|              | Neochloris        | 2                   | 1              | 1      |  |
|              | Oocystis          | 2                   | 1              | 2      |  |
|              | Palmellococcus    | 4                   | 2              | 2      |  |
|              | Pleurastrum       | 2                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Stichococcus      | 9                   | 11             | 13     |  |
|              | Tetracystis       | 0                   | 5              | 1      |  |
|              | Unidentified      | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |
| Chrysophyta  | Bumilleria        | 4                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Gomphonema        | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |
|              | Monocilia         | 0                   | 0              | 2      |  |
|              | Navicula          | 6                   | 12             | 3      |  |
| Euglenophyta | Euglena           | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |

water 100 ml. Identifications were based on Pridham et al. (1958) and Waksman (1967).

Fungal colonies were refrigerated at 4°C on potato dextrose agar slants (Difco) until time permitted identification. Isolates were then streaked onto 2 different media: Czapek Dox (Difco) and Egg Albumin (egg albumin 0.15 g, glucose 10 g,  $K_2HPO_4$  0.5 g, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.2 g, Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> trace, Bacto Agar 20 g, distilled water 1 l). Identifications were based on Barnett and Hunter (1972) and Gilman (1957).

## Data analyses

Analysis of variance and multiple stepwise regression techniques were used for data analysis. Library programs of the Bio-Med series at the Rutgers University Computer Center were employed.

In the analysis of variance the response variable was the population estimates of each of the biological groups obtained from the triplicate samples taken each month. Log transformations were used to give homogeneous variances.

This content downloaded from 132.248.28.29 on Tue, 12 Feb 2019 23:03:04 UTC All use subject to https://about.jstor.org/terms



FIG. 5. Magnesium and calcium content of soil. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = 16$  forest.

In the regression analysis, 18 variables were considered: microorganisms (5 variables)-bluegreen algae, eukaryotic algae, bacteria, actinomycetes and fungi; macroclimatic conditions (2 variables)-air temperature and precipitation; microclimatic conditions (2 variables)-soil moisture and soil temperature; soil microenvironment (7 variables)-pH, C, N, Mg, Ca, P and K; Time, with September as 1 and August as 11, there being no February sample; and Time Squared, i.e., this numerical designation being squared. Months were coded so that the functions were either linear or quadratic; if January had been coded 1, the functions would have been more complex. August 1975 samples were not included in the analysis. Quadratic and interactive effects of significant independent variables were also considered. Regression equations were accepted only if F,  $R^2$  values and all coefficients were significant at the 0.05 level or lower. The presence of outliers in the data set was tested using the methods outlined by Ott (1975). A regression equation was calculated for each of the groups of microorganisms. For each group, the remaining 4 groups were considered as predictor variables. The appropriate equations were then used to predict the numbers of algae for August 1975 and compared to actual values obtained as a test of the regression equations.

#### RESULTS

#### Macroclimatic conditions

Precipitation and air temperature readings at Hutcheson Memorial Forest from April 1974 through August 1975 are shown in Fig. 1. The lowest mean monthly temperature was 0.4°C in February 1975 and the highest was 22–23°C in July and August 1974 and 1975. The first summer was dry until late August with June and July having 6.4 and 4.6 cm of rain, respectively, while the second summer was relatively wet with the same months having 18.2 and 30.2 cm of rain.

Light intensity readings were recorded in March 1975 for each of the 3 sites. The 1-yr field had the highest readings at the soil surface ( $\bar{x} = 85\ 000\ lx$ ) and the 11-yr field the next highest ( $\bar{x} = 61\ 000\ lx$ ). The readings for the forest floor were approximately that



FIG. 6. Phosphorus and potassium content of soil. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = 1$  forest.

seen in the 11-yr field ( $\bar{x} = 54500 \text{ lx}$ ), and as expected, readings taken beneath the leaf litter were considerably less ( $\bar{x} = 11200 \text{ lx}$ ).

## Microenvironmental conditions

Soil temperature and moisture content at sampling times are shown in Fig. 2. January samples were chiseled from the frozen ground resulting in the high moisture readings and March samples were taken





FIG. 8. Log<sub>10</sub> changes in numbers of soil bluegreen algae (Cyanophyta). Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet$  = 1-yr field,  $\blacksquare$  = 11-yr field,  $\blacktriangle$  = forest.

4–5 h later than usual. Seasonal soil temperature response patterns were similar, but the forest exhibited the slowest rate of change and the 1-yr field the fastest. Except for the January samples, the forest had the highest soil moisture content and the 1-yr field the lowest. The lowest soil moisture for all 3 fields was in July 1974: 2% for the 1-yr field, 6.5% for the 11-yr field and 15.5% for the forest.

Soil pH readings for the 3 sites are shown in Fig. 3. The pH values for the 2 fields are similar with a low of 4.7 and a high of 5.7. The forest pH was considerably lower, 3.9 to 4.6.

Figures 4–6 show site concentrations of organic carbon, Kjeldahl N, Mg, Ca, P and K. The newly plowed field had no measurable change in organic carbon content until the second summer. The forest had the highest organic carbon content, 15%, compared to 6.8% in the 11-yr field and 4.2% in the 1-yr field. The forest also had a higher concentration of Kjeldahl N and P than either of the other sites. In contrast, concentrations of Mg and Ca were lowest in the forest, highest in the 11-yr field, and intermediate in the 1-yr field. The 11-yr field concentrations of K were only slightly > that of the forest, while the 1-yr field again was lowest.

## Algae

FIG. 7. Log<sub>10</sub> changes in numbers of soil eukaryotic algae (Chlorophyta, Euglenophyta, Chrysophyta). Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\Phi$  = 1-yr field,  $\blacksquare$  = 11-yr field,  $\blacktriangle$  = forest.

In all 3 sites, eukaryotic algae (Fig. 7) were present in greater numbers than prokaryotic algae (Fig.



FIG. 9. Log<sub>10</sub> changes in numbers of soil bacteria. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = forest$ .

8). Numbers of eukaryotic algae were very similar in the 1-yr and 11-yr fields; forest numbers were considerably lower. Bluegreen numbers were consistently higher in the 1-yr field than either the 11-yr field or forest. The differences from site to site, month to month and interaction of fields with months is highly significant for both algal groups (P < .00I).

The 1-yr and 11-yr fields for both groups of algae show similar patterns of slight declines in numbers in late spring and early summer (Figs. 7, 8). The forest, however, was remarkably dissimilar. There was a decided decrease in eukaryotic algal numbers starting in spring, reaching a low  $(4.6 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/g soil})$  in early fall, and an increase through the fall reaching a high in late winter-early spring  $(1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/g soil})$ . Bluegreen algal numbers in the forest also showed a similar decrease in late spring-early summer of 1974 but not in 1975. The highest bluegreen algal numbers in the forest were  $1.6 \times 10^4$ /g soil compared to a low

TABLE 2. Bacteria: predominant morphological types iso-lated over the 10-mo period, April 1974 through January1975

|                                  | Months observed (N) |                |        |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Types                            | 1-yr<br>Field       | 11-yr<br>Field | Forest |  |
| Gram positive rod, spore-forming | 9                   | 10             | 8      |  |
| Gram positive rod, no spores     | 6                   | 6              | 6      |  |
| Gram positive cocci              | 1                   | 0              | 0      |  |
| Gram negative rod                | 5                   | 7              | 5      |  |
| Gram variable rod                | 4                   | 3              | 5      |  |
| Nocardia                         | 0                   | 1              | 1      |  |



FIG. 10.  $\text{Log}_{10}$  changes in numbers of soil actinomycetes. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = \text{forest.}$ 

of zero (June, July and December 1974). In additional forest samples taken from a second area in July 1974, no bluegreen algae were observed.

The algal genera, the fields in which they were found and the frequency of occurrence are shown in Table 1. Of 9 different bluegreen genera isolated over the entire study, 6 were observed in the 1-yr field, 7 in the 11-yr field, and 6 in the forest. *Anabaena, Nostoc, Oscillatoria* and *Synechocystis* were the most frequently observed. *Synechocystis* was most common in the forest while *Anabaena* and *Nostoc* predominated in the open fields. Of those genera observed only once *Plectonema* was isolated from the 1-yr field, *Gloeocapsa* and *Synechococcus* from the 11-yr field and *Phormidium* from the forest.

Of 19 different Chlorophyta identified, 16 were from the 1-yr field, 12 from the 11-yr field and 14 from the forest. The most frequently observed eukaryotic algae were *Chlorococcum*, *Chlorella* and *Stichococcus*. *Bracteacoccus*, *Nannochloris* and *Navicula* were observed approximately 50% of the time. *Klebsormidium*, *Mesotaenium*, *Myrmecia*, *Pleurastrum*, *Bumilleria*, *Gomphonema* and *Euglena* were only observed in 1-yr field samples. *Tetracystis* and *Chlorosarcina* were never found in the 1-yr field but were present in the other 2 sites. The unidentified genus was a sphere,  $18-22 \mu$  in diameter, with a cup-shaped chloroplast and no pyrenoid. *Monocilia* was the only eukaryotic alga unique to the forest.

Of 4 different Chrysophyta isolated, 3 were from the 1-yr field and 2 from the forest. One genus of the Euglenophyta was found in the 1-yr field.

Comparison of algal numbers in Bristols and TBIM media showed no significant difference in counts and no new algal genera were found. Visual observation



FIG. 11.  $\text{Log}_{10}$  changes in numbers of soil fungi. Each point is the mean of triplicate samples.  $\bullet = 1$ -yr field,  $\blacksquare = 11$ -yr field,  $\blacktriangle = 6$  forest.

of tubes, however, indicated less dense and slower growth in TBIM.

## Other soil microorganisms

A decrease in bacteria (Fig. 9) occurred during the winter months in all 3 sites. Increases in numbers occurred in fall and early spring. Field to field and month to month differences and the interaction of fields with months are highly significant at the P < .001 levels. Table 2 shows the frequency of occurrence of the bacterial morphological types over the first 10 mo of this study. Gram positive spore forming rods predominated.

In all fields, lowest counts of actinomycetes were in July with an increase in early fall and a slight decrease in winter (Fig. 10). Differences between fields, between months and the interaction of fields with months are all highly significant at the P < .001 levels. Actinomycete genera isolated during the first 4 months of this study are listed in Table 3. The majority were *Streptomyces* sp.

Fungi were found in consistently higher numbers in

| Table 3. | Actinomycetes: predominant genera isolated over |
|----------|---|
| the 4-mo | period, April 1974 through July 1974            |

|                     | Months observed $(N)$ |                |        |  |  |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Таха                | 1-yr<br>Field         | 11-yr<br>Field | Forest |  |  |  |
| Micromonospora      | 1                     | 2              | 0      |  |  |  |
| Micropolyspora      | 1                     | 0              | 0      |  |  |  |
| Streptomyces        |                       |                |        |  |  |  |
| monoverticillus     | 1                     | 0              | 0      |  |  |  |
| rectus-flexibilis   | 2                     | 2              | 1      |  |  |  |
| retinaculum-apertum | 1                     | 2              | 2      |  |  |  |
| spira               | 4                     | 4              | 4      |  |  |  |
| Unidentified        | 4                     | 2              | 1      |  |  |  |

 TABLE 4.
 Fungi: predominant genera isolated over the 9-mo

 period, April 1974 through December 1974

|                             | Month         | is observ      | red (N) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Genera                      | 1-yr<br>Field | 11-yr<br>Field | Forest  |
| Apergillus                  | 2             | 2              | 0       |
| Botryotrichium              | 1             | 0              | 0       |
| Botrytis                    | 0             | 1              | 1       |
| Cephalosporium              | 4             | 1              | 1       |
| Fusarium                    | 1             | 3              | 0       |
| Fusidium                    | 0             | 1              | 0       |
| Gliocladium                 | 1             | 0              | 0       |
| Gliomastix                  | 0             | 0              | 1       |
| Haplosporangium             | 1             | 2              | 1       |
| Hormodendrom (Cladosporium) | 1             | 2              | 2       |
| Menispora                   | 1             | 0              | 0       |
| Monilia                     | 1             | 0              | 0       |
| Monocillium                 | 0             | 1              | 0       |
| Mucor                       | 3             | 3              | 4       |
| Penicillium                 | 6             | 5              | 7       |
| Phycomyces                  | 0             | 1              | 0       |
| Scytalidium                 | 1             | 0              | 0       |
| Spicaria (Paecilomyces)     | 6             | 4              | 6       |
| Ŝporotrichium               | 0             | 0              | 1       |
| Staphylotrichium            | 0             | 1              | 0       |
| Trichoderma                 | 1             | 6              | 4       |
| Verticillium                | 0             | 0              | 1       |
| Unidentified—Sterile Hyphae | 5             | 4              | 6       |

the forest (Fig. 11) with lowest numbers in summer and winter. Field to field and month to month differences and the interaction of field to months were all highly significant at the P < .001 levels. During the first 9 months *Penicillium*, *Mucor* and *Spicaria* were most frequently isolated (Table 4).

#### Multiple regression analysis

The eukaryotic and prokaryotic algae were reciprocally correlated, with the numbers of 1 group showing up in the prediction equation of the other. Bacteria and actinomycetes were similarly correlated. The fungi equation contained only environmental variables (Table 5). In each multiple regression equation the dependent variable was the log of the number of organisms. The variation accounted for,  $R^2$ , varied from 35 to 65%.

The regressions all meet the significance criteria previously listed; 3 data points out of a total of 810 were rejected as outliers (Ott 1975).

An additional test of the algal equations also indicated the validity of the multiple correlations. The August 1975 data were not used to calculate the equations. Rather the equations, based on the earlier data, were used to estimate the August numbers. A comparison of predicted versus actual numbers shows that the actual values fall within the predicted range (Table 6).

#### DISCUSSION

## Physical parameters

An area frequently plowed in the past and plowed just after April 1974 sampling, the 1-yr field repre-

| Microbial –<br>Group | Significant independent variables |  |                                      |                             |                      |                          |       |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|--|
|                      | B <sub>0</sub>                    | <i>B</i> <sub>1</sub>                  | $B_2$                                | B <sub>3</sub>              | B <sub>4</sub>       | <i>B</i> <sub>5</sub>    | $R^2$ |  |
| Bluegreen<br>algae   | - 14681.51                        | +3146.31<br>(pH)                       | +139.73<br>(time)                    | -16.58<br>(Mg)              | +129.28<br>(precip.) | +0.74<br>(eukary. algae) | 45%   |  |
| Eukaryotic<br>algae  | +452.61                           | -65.72<br>(time)                       | +4.07<br>(Mg)                        | +0.10<br>(prokary. algae)   |                      |                          | 37%   |  |
| Bacteria             | -26.64                            | +3.54<br>(Actinomycetes)               | +0.44<br>(Mg)                        |                             |                      |                          | 58%   |  |
| Actinomycetes        | -8.65                             | +0.005<br>(Bacteria–<br>Soil Moisture) | -0.00005<br>(Bacteria <sup>2</sup> ) | +0.92<br>(pH <sup>2</sup> ) |                      |                          | 65%   |  |
| Fungi                | -118.24                           | +42.10<br>(C)                          | -0.51<br>(Air Temp., C)              | -0.47<br>(N, P)             | +1.74<br>(Air Temp.) |                          | 54%   |  |

TABLE 5. Significant independent variables used in regression equations for determination of various soil microbial groups (all coefficients significant at  $P \le .05$  level), N = 45

sented a disturbed area open for colonization. The low concentrations of mineral elements were expected as significant litter accumulation had not been encouraged and water percolation was rapid. As a result of the more open vegetation and open space, higher light intensities reached the soil surface and this soil responded very rapidly and sharply to both temperature and moisture changes. This response pattern probably represents an environmental stress for those organisms living within the first 2 centimetres of soil.

The 11-yr-old field had an accumulated litter layer and greater foliage cover than the 1-yr field. Approximately 30% light reduction occurred in March. As the soil was undisturbed for a longer period of time, higher concentrations of mineral elements were seen and greater microfloral growth was expected. The slightly higher pH readings and considerably higher magnesium readings in the 11-yr field were most likely due to the past history of the field, i.e., it was limed and fertilized and then undisturbed for 10 years, whereas the 1-yr field, which had a similar history, was repeatedly plowed during the last 10 years.

The climax forest represents a mature system. The highest values of organic carbon, Kjeldahl nitrogen and phosphorus were reached in the forest. The low values of magnesium in the forest may relate to the acidity of the soil which results in increased mobility of this cation (Millar 1955). The values for potassium were generally higher in the forest and the 11-yr field, probably due to the high water solubility of potassium. Insulation by litter and fermentation layers in the forest was responsible for the soil retaining higher and more constant moisture levels and for slowing the response to temperature changes.

All 3 sites had the same pattern of pH changes over the seasons, a situation similar to that described by Baver (1927), i.e., a continual increase in hydrogen ion concentration from May through September. The magnitude of change observed is also similar to that seen by Baver, approximately 0.9 pH units. The causes of the pH changes with time may relate to the accumulation of inorganic salts during the summer and to the dehydration of colloidal silicates with subsequent decreased buffering capacity. Decreased production of carbon dioxide during the winter decline in microbial activity probably also affected the pH values.

Light measurements on the forest floor show that the forest canopy can significantly decrease light penetration (Wales 1967). In our 1 spring reading, incident light penetrating to beneath the leaf litter was considerably < the amount of light reaching the soil surface of the open areas. During the winter the differences between the areas would certainly be much smaller.

## Soil algae—Quantitative changes

Other researchers have found algae to be relatively numerous in new fields (Flint 1958, Fairchild and Willson 1967, Hofstetter 1968, MacEntee 1970, MacEntee et al. 1972). Linear regression analysis showed a significant relationship of the bluegreen algae with pH, time,

TABLE 6. Observed and predicted numbers of soil algae in 2 successional fields and a climax forest for August 1975. Predictions made from multiple linear regression equations  $(\pm SD)$ 

|            | Bluegreen alga  | e (cells/g soil)   | Eukaryotic algae (cells/g soil)                                     |  |  |
|------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Sites      | Predicted   | Observed   | Predicted   | Observed   |  |
| 1-yr field | $4.4 \times 10^5 \pm 0.8$                                   | $8.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.5$                                  | $9.6 \times 10^6 \pm 0.1$   | $3.3 \times 10^7 \pm 3.1$<br>$3.7 \times 106 \pm 0.0$      |  |
| Forest     | $2.1 \times 10^{6} \pm 0.08$<br>$9.8 \times 10^{4} \pm 6.0$ | $4.7 \times 10^{2} \pm 1.3$<br>$2.2 \times 10^{3} \pm 1.9$ | $2.2 \times 10^{\circ} \pm 7.3$<br>$2.2 \times 10^{\circ} \pm 2700$ | $3.7 \times 10^{3} \pm 0.9$<br>$6.8 \times 10^{3} \pm 5.1$ |  |

magnesium, precipitation and the eukaryotic algae,  $R^2 = 45\%$  (Table 5). Significant independent variables for the eukaryotic algae were time, magnesium and the bluegreen algae,  $R^2 = 37\%$ . The presence of the corresponding algal group in each regression equation was thought to follow from their similar responses to these variables.

The bluegreen algae are known to be intolerant of low pH conditions (Lund 1962, Jurgensen and Davey 1968, Bold 1970, Brock 1973, Dooley and Houghton 1973) and lower cyanophyte numbers in the forest may reflect this characteristic. The summer decline in pH could be responsible for the summer decrease in bluegreen numbers.

The response to precipitation by the cyanophytes may be an indication of germination of akinetes or increased fragmentation of filaments resulting in an increased number of potential colony-forming units. As certain of the bluegreen algae (*Nostoc* and *Anabaena*) maintain their integrity by mucilage, they probably would not fragment in response to precipitation. However, whether mucilage is produced to any extent beneath the soil surface has not been shown.

Gerloff and Fishbeck (1969) reported that requirements for critical cell concentrations of magnesium are higher than for Ca or K in 3 bluegreens and 2 of 3 green algae studied, while requirements for calcium were very low and requirements for potassium were variable. This may explain why Mg was the only soil element found to be significant for the algae (Table 5). Mg, essential for metabolism and the synthesis of chlorophyll, was found in lowest concentrations in the forest, possibly accounting for the negative expression of Mg in the regression analysis for the bluegreens (see Table 5).

Both algal regression equations contain time as a significant variable, and as can be seen for all 3 fields, a decline in algal numbers occurred in late spring and early summer with the pattern for the forest being most exaggerated and extended over the longest period. This pattern was not seen for the heterotrophic organisms. Wales (1967) in his study on climatic dissimilarities between the southern and northern boundaries of Hutcheson Memorial Forest found that light penetration 1 metre from the forest floor started to decline at the end of April, with mayapple (Podophyllum peltatum L.) emergence the first week of April and dogwood (Cornus florida L.) bud break in the middle of April. By mid-May light penetration ranged from 0 to 5% at the northern boundary near the forest site for this study. Penetration during the summer was found to vary 50-fold within inches, but generally ranged from 1.5 to 1.9%. Sulser (1971) also found the lowest light penetration in the forest during the summer, approximately 1.0%, and the highest values were in November and April.

Although some algae are capable of heterotrophic growth (Parker 1961, Carr 1973, Smith 1973, Droop 1974,) and nutritive interrelationships are known to occur with other organisms (Parker and Bold 1961, Whitton 1973), most are photoautotrophic. The decline was probably due to obligate autotrophic metabolism of the algae. A possible secondary explanation was the lack of adequate soil moisture in the more open sites; however, while the late July rains resulted in a slight increase in the 2 fields, no response was found in the forest. The October 1974 peak in cyanophyte numbers in the forest was preceded and followed by lows for the bluegreens. The low pH values during the summer and the slight decline in pH after the October sampling period may have been responsible.

The  $R^2$  values for both the bluegreen ( $R^2 = 45\%$ ) and the eukaryotic algae ( $R^2 = 37\%$ ) are extremely good considering the complexity of the soil environment. The regression equations successfully predicted the algal numbers for August 1975 (Table 6). Both pre dicted and observed numbers for August 1975 wersubstantially higher than August 1974. The major dif ference between these sampling periods was the great er amount of precipitation for June and July of 1975 resulting in a larger standing crop for August. In addition, forest pH values showed an approximate 0.4 pH unit increase from July to August. The regression equations demonstrated the influence of an environmental factor on the soil algae.

Allen and Stanier (1968) showed that at 35°C, Bristol's medium supported less bluegreen algal growth then BG-11 both in terms of total numbers and number of different genera observed. In the present study, bluegreen growth in Bristol's medium at 20°C was also low.

Comparison of eukaryotic algal numbers isolated in Bristol's medium with TBIM showed no significant differences between the 2, however, reliance on the latter medium might prove unfeasible. Slower growth rates in TBIM require longer incubation periods and result in concomitant sparse growth.

The use of selective isolation media and temperature is important in determining actual numbers of algae in soil. Tchan and Whitehouse (1953) reported some daily fluctuation in numbers from sandy soils and as seen in this study, dependence upon summer sampling alone also could result in an inaccurate analysis of the soil algal population.

## Soil algae—Qualitative changes

The greatest number of algal genera was found in the newly plowed field, i.e., 28 of a total of 35 found in all sites, or 80%, while the forest and the 11-yr field had 63% and 57%, respectively. Of the genera observed once, 56% were in the 1-yr field, 33% in the 11-yr field, and 11% in the forest. Light penetration was probably the most important factor for these results.

Chlorella, Chlorococcum, and Stichococcus appeared as the dominant algae in all 3 fields while Brac-



FIG. 12. A conceptual model of the microbial components in a soil ecosystem based on multiple regression analyses.

teacoccus and Nannochloris were less frequent. We have no explanation for the sporadic occurrence of certain genera. -Three of the 4 filamentous or semi-filamentous algae were predominant in, but not restricted to, the 1-yr field: Klebsormidium, Pleurastrum and Bumilleria. Increased light penetration and a more open soil structure would aid development of these filamentous forms. The absence or scarcity of a species from the 1-yr field may relate to its sensitivity to certain microenvironmental conditions. For example, Chlamydomonas was found in lowest numbers in the 1-yr field. As a motile alga, moisture would be a requirement for growth, a condition least favorable in the 1-yr field. Likewise, intolerance to low light and pH conditions might also hinder growth of other genera (e.g., Tetracystis and Navicula) in the forest. Seasonal changes in the predominant algal genera were not apparent, possibly because the mild winter had a moderating influence.

### Bacteria, actinomycetes, and fungi

The abundance of the fungi and the reduction in actinomycetes in the forest is thought to be related to their respective tolerance and intolerance to acidic conditions. Also, air temperature as a significant variable may be an indication of the influence of increased litter fall or nutrient source.

The presence of magnesium in the bacterial regression equation may be related to the requirement of Mg for normal metabolic growth, to the bias inherent in the lower concentrations present in the forest or to the reduced numbers of bacteria there. The numbers of actinomycetes paralleled the bacteria.

The actinomycete microflora correlated with the quadratic effects of bacteria and pH and to the interrelationships of bacteria with soil moisture. With both quadratic relationships, increasing values of pH and bacteria correlate with increasing numbers of actinomycetes until a point is reached where increasing values of the independent variables correlate with decreasing numbers of actinomycetes. This suggests that there is an optimum level of pH and bacteria numbers for actinomycete growth.

#### Conceptual model

The multiple correlations were used to construct a conceptual model of the microbial component of the soils at the study sites (Fig. 12). Based on the regression equations, it is suggested that the 2 algal groups are independent of the other 3 groups, and the algal groups (because of the positive coefficients, Table 5) have a positive interaction, i.e., an increase in the numbers of one group correlates with an increase in numbers of the other. This may be due to similarities in the algal groups and to dissimilarities with the other groups. Competition might not be biologically significant between the photoautotrophs and the heterotrophs. Another possibility is that none of the microbial groups are living under optimum conditions in the study sites.

The influence of one algal group on another can be predicted by application of their respective equations (Table 7). In the 1-yr-old successional field, a 3 log change in either bluegreen or eukaryotic algal numbers results in a slight increase in the contrasting algal group. Seasonal (time-light) changes show a different pattern with a one and a half log decrease in eukaryotic algae and a slight increase in prokaryotic algal numbers when comparing the months of October to July. Theoretical increases in precipitation result in calculation of greater numbers of cyanophytes. For example, the month of July would have  $4.2 \times 10^5$  algae/

| Predicted numbers (cells/g soil)          |                                       |                                    |                                       |                                  |                                  |   |                                   |   |   |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Eukaryotic algae                          |                                       |                                    |                                       |                                  |                                  | В   | luegreen alga                     | ne  |   |
| Selected<br>levels of Months<br>bluegreen |                                       | Selected<br>levels of              | Months                                |                                  |                                  |   |                                   |   |   |
| algae                                     | Oct                                   | Jan                                | Apr                                   | Jul                              | algae                            | Oct   | Jan                               | Apr   | Jul   |
| $1.0 	imes 10^2 \ 1.0 	imes 10^5$         | $5.4 	imes 10^{6} \ 6.4 	imes 10^{6}$ | ${3.4	imes 10^6}\ {4.4	imes 10^6}$ | $2.1 	imes 10^{6} \ 3.1 	imes 10^{6}$ | ${1.0	imes 10^5\ 1.1	imes 10^6}$ | $1.0	imes10^4$<br>$1.0	imes10^7$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2.4 \times 10^{5} \\ 3.1 \times 10^{5} \end{array}$ | $2.8 	imes 10^5 \ 3.5 	imes 10^5$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \times 10^{5} \\ 3.8 \times 10^{5} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3.5  \times  10^{5} \\ 4.2  \times  10^{5} \end{array}$ |

g soil with 15 cm of rain. Increases in pH also show increases in numbers of cyanophytes. For example, an increase of pH to 5.8 combined with an increase in precipitation to 38 cm for the month would result in  $6.8 \times 10^5$  algae/g soil.

The soil fauna was not examined in this study. The mites, however, are the most abundant arthropod group to be found in soil (Wallwork 1970). Radioisotope experiments by Coleman and McGinnis (1970) have shown that the 3 major orders of mites consume approximately 0.2% of fungal inoculum in soil. They conclude that these microarthropods have little direct effect in soil. However, selective quantitative and qualitative removal of any biological component and dissemination of propagules could affect the microflora (Milliger et al. 1971, Mitchell and Parkinson 1976).

This is the first study of this type to continue over a prolonged period of time. The data illustrate the importance of taking multiple samples over several seasons and the use of selective isolation media and temperatures to characterize the algal microflora. Algal numbers in soil are very high, equivalent to that of the fungi and only 1 or 2 orders of magnitude lower than the actinomycetes and bacteria. The proposed model can serve as an analog of the real system and as such it can be used to suggest hypotheses. The predictions of algal numbers of Hutcheson Memorial Forest corresponded well with the actual numbers obtained. The next tests would appear to be the comparison to other terrestrial situations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Merck Institute of Therapeutic Research, Merck and Company, Rahway, New Jersey, for its financial support.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Allen, M. M., and S. T. Stanier. 1968. Selective isolation of bluegreen algae from water and soil. Journal of General Microbiology 51:203-209.
- Archibold, P. A., and H. C. Bold. 1970. Phycological studies XI. The genus *Chlorococcum* Meneghini. University of Texas Publication 7015.
- Bailey, D., A. P. Mazurak, and J. R. Rosowski. 1973. Ag-

gregation of soil particles by algae. Journal of Phycology 9:99-101.

- Baker, A. F., and H. C. Bold. 1970. Phycological studies X. Taxonomic studies in the Oscillatoriaceae. University of Texas Publication 7004.
- Bard, G. E. 1952. Secondary succession on the Piedmont of New Jersey. Ecological Monographs 22:195–215.
- Barnett, H. L., and B. B. Hunter. 1972. Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi. Third edition. Burgess Publishing Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.
- Baver, L. D. 1927. Factors affecting the hydrogen-ion concentration of soils. Soil Science 23:399–414.
- Black, C. A., D. D. Evans, J. L. White, L. E. Ensminger, and F. E. Clark, editors. 1965. Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bold, H. C. 1970. Some aspects of the taxonomy of soil algae. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 175:601-616.
- Booth, W. E. 1941. Algae as pioneers in plant succession and their importance in erosion control. Ecology 22:38–46.
- Brock, T. D. 1973. Evolutionary and ecological aspects of the cyanophytes. Pages 487–500 in N. G. Carr and B. A. Whitton, editors. The biology of blue-green algae. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Brown, R. M., and H. C. Bold. 1964. Phycological studies V. Comparative studies of the algal genera *Tetracystis* and *Chlorococcum*. University of Texas Publication 6417.
- Cameron, R. E., and J. R. Devaney. 1970. Antarctic soil algal crusts: scanning electron and optical microscope study. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 89:264–273.
- Carr, N. G. 1973. Metabolic control and autotrophic physiology. Pages 39–65 in N. G. Carr and B. A. Whitton, editors. The biology of blue-green algae. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Chapman, H. D., and P. F. Pratt. 1961. Methods of analysis for soils and waters. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Chapman, V. J. 1962. The algae. St. Martin's Press, MacMillan, New York, New York, USA.
- Coleman, D. C., and J. T. McGinnis. 1970. Quantification of fungus-small arthropod food chains in the soil. Oikos **21**:134-137.
- Curl, H., Jr., and P. Becker. 1970. Terrestrial cryophilic algae of the Antarctic Peninsula. Antarctic Journal of the United States 5:121.
- Dommergues, Y., and F. Mangenot. 1970. Ecologie microbienne du sol. Masson et C<sup>ie</sup>, Paris, France.
- Dooley, F., and J. A. Houghton. 1973. The nitrogen-fixing capacities and the occurrences of blue-green algae in peat soils. British Phycological Journal 8:289–293.

All use subject to https://about.jstor.org/terms

- Drew, E. A., and J. R. Anderson. 1977. Studies on the survival of algae added to chemically treated soils. 1. Methodology. Soil biology and biochemistry 9:207–215.
- Droop, M. R. 1974. Heterotrophy of carbon. Pages 39–65 in W. D. P. Stewart, editor. Algal physiology and biochemistry. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Durrell, L. W. 1964. Algae in tropical soils. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society 83:79–85.
- ------, and L. M. Shields. 1961. Characteristics of soil algae relating to crust formation. Transactions of the American Microscopical Society **80**:73–79.
- Dykstra, R. F., F. J. MacEntee, and H. C. Bold. 1975. Someedaphic algae of the Texas coast. Texas Journal of Science 26:171-177.
- Fairchild, E. D., and D. L. Willson. 1967. The algal flora of two Washington soils. Ecology 48:1053-1055.
- Flint, E. A. 1958. Biological studies of some tussock-grassland soils. IX. Algae: preliminary observations. New Zealand Journal of Agriculture Research 1:991–997.
- Forest, H. S. 1962. Analysis of the soil algal community. Journal of the American Microscopical Society 81:189– 198.

and C. R. Weston. 1966. Blue-green algae from the Atacama desert of northern Chile. Journal of Phycology 2:163–164.

- Friedman, I., Y. Lipkin, and R. Ocampo-Paus. 1967. Desert algae of the Negev (Israel). Phycologia 6:185-200.
- Fritsch, F. E. 1907. The role of algal growth in the colonization of new ground in the determination of scenery. Geographical Journal 30:531-548.
- Gerloff, G. C., and K. A. Fishbeck. 1969. Quantitative cation requirements of several green and blue-green algae. Journal of Phycology 5:109–114.
- Gilman, J. C. 1957. A manual of soil fungi. Second edition. Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Groover, R. D., and H. C. Bold. 1969. Phycologial studies VIII. The taxonomy and comparative physiology of the Chlorosarcinales and certain other edaphic algae. University of Texas Publication 6907.
- Hofstetter, Sister A. M. 1968. A preliminary report on the algal flora of soils from selected areas of Shelby county. Journal of Tennessee Academy of Sciences 43:20–21.
- Jurgensen, M. F., and C. B. Davey. 1968. Nitrogen-fixing blue-green algae in acid forest and nursery soils. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 14:1179–1183.
- Kantz, T., and H. C. Bold. 1969. Phycological studies IX. morphological and taxonomic investigations of *Nostoc* and *Anabaena* in culture. University of Texas Publication 6924.
- King, J. M., and C. H. Ward. 1977. Distribution of edaphic algae as related to land usage. Phycologia 16:23-30.
- Kuster, E., and S. T. Williams. 1964. Selection of media for isolation of *Streptomyces*. Nature **200**:928–929.
- Lee, K. W., and H. C. Bold. 1974. Phycological studies XII. Characium and some Characium-like algae. University of Texas Publication 7403.
- Lund, J. W. G. 1962. Soil algae. Pages 759–766 in R. D. Lewin, editor. Physiology and Biochemistry of Algae. Academic Press, New York, New York, USA.
- MacEntee, F. J. 1970. A preliminary investigation of the soil algae of northeastern Pennsylvania. Soil Science 110:313– 317.
- MacEntee, F. J., Sister G. Schreckenberg, and H. C. Bold. 1972. Some observations on the distribution of edaphic algae. Soil Science 114:196–219.
- Mayland, H. F., and T. H. McIntosh. 1966. Availability of biologically fixed atmospheric nitrogen-15 to higher plants. Nature 209:421-423.

Mayland, H. F., T. H. McIntosh, and W. H. Fuller. 1966.

Fixation of isotopic nitrogen on a semiarid soil by algal crust organism. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings **30**:56–60.

- Meynell, G. G., and E. Meynell. 1965. Theory and practice in experimental bacteriology. Cambridge University Press, London, England, USA.
- Millar, C. E. 1955. Soil Fertility. Wiley and Sons, New York, New York, USA.
- Milliger, L. E., K. W. Stewart, and J. K. G. Silvey. 1971. The passive dispersal of viable algae, protozoans, and fungi by aquatic and terrestrial Coleoptera. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 64:36–45.
- Mitchell, M. J., and D. Parkinson. 1976. Fungal feeding of Oribated mites (Acari: Cryptostigmata) in an Aspen woodland soil. Ecology 57:302–312.
- Nichols, H. W. 1973. Growth media-freshwater. Pages 7-24 in J. R. Stein, editor. Handbook of phycological methods. culture methods and growth measurements. Cambridge University Press, New York, New York, USA.
- Ott, E. R. 1975. Process quality control. McGraw-Hill, New York, New York, USA.
- Papavizas, G. C., and C. B. Davey. 1959. Evaluation of various media and antimicrobial agents for isolation of soil fungi. Soil Science 88:112–117.
- Parker, B. C. 1961. Facultative heterotrophy in certain soil algae from the ecological viewpoint. Ecology 42:381–386.
- Parker, B. C., and H. C. Bold. 1961. Biotic relationships between soil algae and other microorganisms. American Journal of Botany 48:185–197.
- Prescott, G. W. 1970. How to know the freshwater algae. William C. Brown Company, Dubuque, Iowa.
- Pridham, T. G. M., C. W. Hesseltine, and R. G. Benedict. 1958. A guide for the classification of Streptomycetes according to selected groups. Placement of strains in morphological sections. Applied Microbiology 6:52–79.
- Round, F. E. 1973. The biology of algae. Second edition. St. Martin's Press, New York, New York, USA.
- Shields, L. M., and L. W. Durrell. 1964. Algae in relation to soil fertility. Botanical Review **30**:92–128.
- Small, J. A., M. F. Buell, H. F. Buell, and T. G. Siccama. 1971. Old-field succession on the New Jersey Piedmont the first year. William L. Hutcheson Memorial Forest Bulletin II:26–30.
- Smith, A. J. 1973. Synthesis of metabolic intermediates. Pages 1–38. in N. G. Carr and B. A. Whitton, editors. The biology of blue-green algae. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Smith, G. M. 1950. The fresh-water algae of the United States. Second Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, USA.
- Smith, R. L., and H. C. Bold. 1966. Phycological studies VI. Investigations of the algal genera *Eremosphaera* and *Oocystis*. University of Texas Publication 6612.
- Smith, R. L., and V. E. Wiedeman. 1964. A new alkaline growth medium for algae. Canadian Journal of Botany 42:1582–1586.
- Sulser, J. S. 1971. Twenty years of change in the Hutcheson Memorial Forest. William L. Hutcheson Memorial Forest Bulletin II:15-24.
- Tchan, Y. T., and J. A. Whitehouse. 1953. Study of soil algae II. The variation of the algal population in sandy soils. Proceedings of the Linnaean Society of New South Wales 78:160–170.
- Ugolini, F. C. 1964. Soil development on the red beds of New Jersey. William L. Hutcheson Memorial Forest Bulletin II:1-34.
- Waksman, S. A. 1967. The actinomycetes. A summary of current knowledge. Ronald Press Company, New York, New York, USA.
- Wales, B. A. 1967. Climate microclimate, and vegetation

relationships on north and south forest boundaries. William L. Hutcheson Memorial Forest Bulletin II:1-60.

- Wallwork, J. A. 1970. Ecology of soil animals. McGraw-Hill, New York, New York, USA. Whitford, L. A., and G. J. Schumacher. 1973. A manual of
- Whitford, L. A., and G. J. Schumacher. 1973. A manual of fresh-water algae. Sparks Press, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA.
- Whitton, B. A. 1973. Interactions with other organisms. Pages 415-433 *in* N. G. Carr and B. A. Whitton, editors. The biology of blue-green algae. University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.